

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450		
Alexandria, Virginia	22313-1	450
www.uspto.gov		

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/943,954	08/31/2001	Paul Aurelio Martinez	42252-1012	2029
23562	7590 08/12/20	•		
	MCKENZIE	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 2001 ROSS AVENUE			DINH, TUAN T	
SUITE 2300 DALLAS, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	i

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
. Office Action Summary		09/943,954	MARTINEZ, PAUL AURELIO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tuan T Dinh	2827			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) This action is <b>FIN</b> ♠	.L. 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are	e pending in the application					
4a) Of the above cla	im(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/a	e allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/a	re rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/a	-					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-19</i> are si	ubject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers	•	4-				
9) The specification is o	bjected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed €	on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.			
Applicant may not re	quest that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawir	g correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, correcte	d drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	19 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
S. Palent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/943,954 Page 2

Art Unit: 2827

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-12, drawn to a system, a wireless communication device, and a computer system, classified in class 361, subclass 764.
- II. Claims 13-19, drawn to a method for reducing apparent height of a component of a PCB, classified in class 29, subclass 840.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method can be used in vary different processes, for example: adhesive, or any chemical bonding instead of soldering a carrier to a PCB.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie I Figure 1.

Art Unit: 2827

Specie II Figure 5.

Specie III Figure 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims are not generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2827

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TD

June 28, 2003

Markuan man Thai